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"No one can define [our systems]. That is where we should exercise our judgment: If we were to codify the laws concerning [them] they might soon become a great bondage for us."

(Linssen 1994)
Introduction: Sustainable Stormwater system design…

Traditional urban stormwater systems design: *linear*, *engineered* systems based on *stationary* climate data

Climate change brings unpredictable variability: stormwater systems must cope

Variable flows are better managed using surface drainage systems

‘Surface’ often prioritized for building

(Milly et al 2008, Brown and Farrelly 2009)
How do we get from ‘linear engineered’ to ‘sustainable’ stormwater systems?

Some perceived difficulties…

…the words of water professionals in Swedish municipalities

(The following quotations are taken from Cettner et al, 2012)
The ‘voice’ of stormwater in the planning process:

“…it is [framed in the planning process] as a stormwater collection system…traditionally a pipe issue”

“I…commented…that local solutions [i.e., non-piped] should be used. But in [terms of] the practical implementation I had no control of what actually happened”
The planning process is often about ‘defending’ the water interest and some ‘voices’ can be more dominant than others:

“I feel controlled by the architects’ visions…There is no space for those who deal with water to act. We have to accept the framework and build pipes”
The APWS confines stormwater design to the legal requirements:

“We cannot change our commitment because it is law-bound. The water law tells us what to do.”
Final decisions are made by the planning department, but stormwater is often considered too late in the process:

“Sometimes we just get to hear that a detailed plan is out for consultation”

“…the water division must be part of the work [planning]…a wrong decision could mean huge investment”
Responsibility for non-piped aspects of stormwater systems is unclear raising liability issues:

“For…the water division, it is…easy to say that the system is ours with all connections…but beyond the pipe network the responsibility becomes very unclear.”
Barriers to sustainability - individuals’ attitudes within the Water Division:

“...there are so many ways out [referring to guidance] that the routine with pipes continues as before...it requires tremendous effort as we are reluctant to change.”
Engagement during the planning process is not always appropriate:

“…some planners want to do the planning on their own…the technical problems [stormwater design] have to be solved later”
Balancing ‘voices’ and ‘power’:

“…now the planners propose more wetlands than we do. We can only make wetlands where it’s justified…we have had a real building boom and wetlands have been constructed in…many projects, too many in some places.”
Technological path dependence found abroad…

…The Water Grid (SEQ, Australia):

(images https://www.wsaa.asn.au/NewsAndMedia/MemberNews/Pages/SEQWaterGrid.aspx#.UKDLwMXA_II accessed 9 November 2012)
The drivers for SEQ: in 2006/07

SEQ was in the longest drought period in History:

69% of normal annual rainfall

Dams at 20% capacity

AUS $9 BN infrastructure project announced:

Dams, desalination plant, treatment plant
What are the reasons for lock-in?

Political risk?...

Often ‘events’ trigger a response

…to meet a single future scenario

Politically we must ‘take action’

…and ‘be seen to be doing something’

(Brown et al, 2011)
What are the reasons for lock-in?

Political risk?...

Droughts provoked a ‘closed’ planning process…

…with little Practitioner input, no public appraisal

(Brown et al, 2011)
What are the reasons for lock-in?

Professional agency fear?...

Water experts and professionals must follow orders...

(Brown et al, 2011)
What are the reasons for lock-in?

Professional agency fear?...

Privately, professionals expressed concern with a solution that only deals with drought

...and, seen as a missed opportunity for long-term sustainable change

(Brown et al, 2011)
Conclusions

Knowledge & Experience

‘Voice’ imbalance

Current paradigm: best practice

Legitimacy

Power

Urgency

(Kochan and Rubenstein 2000)
Delivering sustainable solutions requires ‘structure’

BUT…

Sustainable problems dictate flexibility
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